UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,628	06/23/2005	Tatsuo Yokoi	52433/801	6709
26646 KENYON & K	7590 07/13/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADV	VAY	YEE, DEBORAH		
NEW YORK, N	N I 1000 4		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/540,628	YOKOI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Deborah Yee	1793		

	Deborah Yee	1793					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ').	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The approprious of the fee. The appropriation of the final Office of the final Office of the feet appropriate the feet appropriate of the	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in between appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed amendment of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed amendment of the	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially red	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying t					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 ¹ 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	16 and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (,				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 	will not be entered, or b) will will not be entered. will not be entered.	•	-				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,4 and 10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		ation of American will make					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	I sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: <u>Attached PTO-1449</u> .							
	/Deborah Yee/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1793						

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The proposed amendment to claim 10 is indefinite because it recites a composition and equation associated with no Nb yet it is dependent on claim 1 that requires Nb.

Specification is objected to because of inconsistencies in the comparative test data analysis in tables 1 and 2 and pages 20 and 21. Pages 20 and 21 state that comparative Steel E has $C^* = 0.109$ and comparative steel J has $C^* = 0.066$ which are values outside the claimed C^* range of >0 to 0.05 and as a result, the softening degree of the heat affected zone (ΔH) is large and outside the range of the present invention. This evaluation is incorrect because according to table 2, Steel E has $\Delta H = 30$ and Steel J has $\Delta H = 20$ which are within present invention ΔH up to 40.

Claims 1, 3, 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent 408157957 (JP-957) for the reasons stated in the previous office action dated 4/6/2009.

JP-957 discloses specific hot rolled steel examples O and P in table 1 that closely meet the claimed composition; and when calculated, have C* = -0.0038 and -0.0015 respectively which closely approximate the claimed lower C* limit of >0. Since applicant has not demonstrated criticality of the claimed lower C* limit with prior art values, then claims would not patentably distinguish over prior art.

Claims would distinguish over JP-957 when amended inaccordance with Examiner's previous recommendation and upon consideration further changing the limitation to the following: -- High burring, high strength, hot-rolled welded steel sheet.....-wherein an effective amount of solid solution C is present in said hot rolled welded steel sheet to form carbon clusters or precipitates with Mo and Cr to achieve excellent softening resistance at the weld heat affected zone---. Support for limitation is shown on lines 23 to 26 on page 11 of instant specification.